3. On or about November 27, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2013-430, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

6904 Castlerock Drive Texarkana, AR 71854.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about December 5, 2012, the signed Certified Mailing Receipt was returned to the Board with December 1, 2012, as the date of delivery.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2013-430.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on

 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2013-430, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2013-430, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$782.50 as of January 2, 2013.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jay Neal Rowton has subjected his Registered Nurse License No. 577075 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Registered Nursing is authorized to revoke Respondent's Registered Nurse License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(4) of the Code in that on or about October 14, 2004, Respondent was disciplined by the Arkansas State Board of Nursing ("Arkansas Board"). Specifically, the Arkansas Board issued an Order placing Respondent's registered nurse license in that state on probation for a period of 1 year, with terms and conditions. The circumstances underlying the disciplinary action by the Arkansas Board are that Respondent failed to correctly document and properly assess patients when administering controlled substances. Respondent's employer also questioned his judgment when he administered 4 different types of pain medication over a 4 hour period that ended with the patient having a fall. Respondent initially refused a drug screen but later submitted to a drug screen which tested positive for opiates at the facility. The Respondent admitted to taking Restoril (prescribed to his wife) prior to the drug screen. The disciplinary action is described in more particularity in Accusation No. 2013-430, hereby incorporated by reference.
- b. On or about June 14, 2011, the Texas Board of Nursing ("Texas Board") ratified and adopted the Agreed Order signed on May 26, 2011, by Respondent in which the parties agreed that Respondent received the sanction of a warning with stipulations in the disciplinary matter

. 14

entitled In the Matter of Registered Nurse License Number 661547 and Nurse Licensure Compact Privilege Associated with Arkansas License Number R65339 issued to Jay Neal Rowton. The circumstances underlying the disciplinary action by the Texas Board are that on or about September 8, 2010, while holding a license as a registered nurse in the State of Texas, Respondent's license to practice professional nursing in Arkansas was placed on probation with stipulations for a period of 3 years, by the Arkansas Board based upon Respondent's refusal to submit to drug testing for cause, and for removing multiple Meperidine injections for patients without documentation of administration. The disciplinary action is described in more particularity in Accusation No. 2013-430, hereby incorporated by reference.

- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(4) of the Code in that on or about September 8, 2010, the Arkansas Board issued a Consent Agreement in which the Arkansas Board made findings of fact, conclusions of law and issued an order placing Respondent's license to practice nursing in that state on probation for a period of 3 years, with terms and conditions in the disciplinary matter entitled *In the Matter of Jay Neal Rowton, RN License No. R65339*. The circumstances underlying the disciplinary action by the Arkansas Board are that on or about February 17, 2010, Respondent was terminated from Wadley Regional Medical Center in Texarkana, Texas, for refusing to submit to drug testing for cause as required by hospital policy. The Respondent's controlled substance retrieval for Wednesday, February 17, 2010, was reviewed and found that he removed multiple Meperidine injections for patients with no documentation of administration. The Arkansas Board also found that the Respondent was previously disciplined by the Arkansas Board in 2004. On or about April 25, 2012, the Arkansas Board accepted the voluntary surrender of Respondent's privilege to practice in that state. The disciplinary action is described in more particularity in Accusation No. 2013-430, hereby incorporated by reference.
- d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent failed to correctly document and properly assess patients when administering controlled substances, tested positive for opiates in a drug screen, took Restoril prior to the drug screen and removed multiple Meperidine

8

6

9

1 1

12

10

13

14 15

16

17

111.

///

///

111

///

111

18

19

20

21

22 ||

23 1///

.24 || ///

25 || ///

26 | ///

27 || //

28 || //

injections for patients with no documentation of administration. The conduct is described in more particularity in Accusation No. 2013-430, hereby incorporated by reference.

- e. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code as defined in section 2762, subdivision (a) of the Code in that Respondent obtained or possessed Meperidine, a schedule II controlled substance and dangerous drug, in violation of the law. The conduct is described in more particularity in Accusation No. 2013-430, hereby incorporated by reference.
- f. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code as defined in section 2762, subdivision (e) of the Code in that Respondent made grossly incorrect entries in hospital, patient and other records pertaining to Meperidine and other controlled substances. The conduct is described in more particularity in Accusation No. 2013-430, hereby incorporated by reference.
- g. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (d) of the Code in that Respondent violated provisions of the Nursing Practice Act. The violations are described in more particularity in Accusation No. 2013-430, hereby incorporated by reference.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Nurse License No. 577075, heretofore issued to Respondent Jay Neal Rowton, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on MAY 2, 2013

It is so ORDERED APRIL 2, 2013

FOR THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

51214063,DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2012508132

Attachment:
Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KATHERINE MESSANA Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 272953 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2554		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2013 - 430	
12	JAY NEAL ROWTON		
13	6904 Castlerock Drive Texarkana, AR 71854	ACCUSATION	
14	Registered Nurse License No. 577075		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her		
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of		
21	Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about February 7, 2001, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered		
23	Nurse License Number 577075 to Jay Neal Rowton ("Respondent"). The Registered Nurse		
24	License expired on November 30, 2004, and has not been renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing ("Board"),		
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section		
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.		

- 4. Section 2750 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 6. Section 2764 of the Code also provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Section 2811(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1419.3 provides:

"In the event a licensee does not renew his/her license as provided in Section 2811 of the code, the license expires. A licensee renewing pursuant to this section shall furnish a full set of fingerprints as required by and set out in section 1419(b) as a condition of renewal.

- (a) A licensee may renew a license that has not been expired for more than eight years by paying the renewal and penalty fees as specified in Section 1417 and providing evidence of 30 hours of continuing education taken within the prior two-year period.
- (b) A licensee may renew a license that has been expired for more than eight years by paying the renewal and penalty fees specified in Section 1417 and providing evidence that he or she holds a current valid active and clear registered nurse license in another state, a United States territory, or Canada, or by passing the Board's current examination for licensure."
- 8. Section 2761 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another

1	California health care professional licensing board. A certified copy of the decision or judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action.	
2	•••	
3	(d) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting	
4	in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act] or regulations adopted pursuant to it."	
5	9. Section 2762 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
6 7	"In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:	
8	(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as	
9	directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety	
	Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.	
11	(A) T 1 (C) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
12 13	(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section."	
14	DRUG DEFINITIONS	
15	10. Meperidine is a schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code	
16	section 11055 and is a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.	
17	COST RECOVERY	
18	11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
19	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations o	
20	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
21	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not bein	
22	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be	
23	included in a stipulated settlement.	
24	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
25	(Disciplinary Action by Other Jurisdiction)	
26	12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(4) or	
27	the Code in that Respondent was disciplined by the Texas Board of Nursing ("Texas Board") and	
28	by the Arkansas State Board of Nursing ("Arkansas Board"), as follows:	

- Respondent's registered nurse license in that state on probation for a period of 1 year, with terms and conditions in the disciplinary matter entitled *In the Matter of: Jay Neal Rowton RN License No. R65339*. The circumstances underlying the disciplinary action by the Arkansas Board are that Respondent failed to correctly document and properly assess patients when administering controlled substances. Respondent's employer also questioned his judgment when he administered 4 different types of pain medication over a 4 hour period that ended with the patient having a fall. Respondent initially refused a drug screen but later submitted to a drug screen which tested positive for opiates at the facility. The Respondent admitted to taking Restoril (prescribed to his wife) prior to the drug screen.
- 14. On or about September 8, 2010, the Arkansas Board issued a Consent Agreement in which the Arkansas Board made findings of fact, conclusions of law and issued an order placing Respondent's license to practice nursing in that state on probation for a period of 3 years, with terms and conditions in the disciplinary matter entitled *In the Matter of Jay Neal Rowton, RN License No. R65339*. The circumstances underlying the disciplinary action by the Arkansas Board are that on or about February 17, 2010, Respondent was terminated from Wadley Regional Medical Center in Texarkana, Texas, for refusing to submit to drug testing for cause as required by hospital policy. The Respondent's controlled substance retrieval for Wednesday, February 17, 2010, was reviewed and found that he removed multiple Meperidine injections for patients with no documentation of administration. The Arkansas Board also found that the Respondent was previously disciplined by the Arkansas Board in 2004. On or about April 25, 2012, the Arkansas Board accepted the voluntary surrender of Respondent's privilege to practice in that state.
- 15. On or about June 14, 2011, the Texas Board ratified and adopted the Agreed Order signed on May 26, 2011, by Respondent in which the parties agreed that Respondent received the sanction of a warning with stipulations in the disciplinary matter entitled *In the Matter of Registered Nurse License Number 661547 and Nurse Licensure Compact Privilege Associated*

¹ The confirmatory test was negative.

with Arkansas License Number R65339 issued to Jay Neal Rowton. The circumstances underlying the disciplinary action by the Texas Board are that on or about September 8, 2010, while holding a license as a registered nurse in the State of Texas, Respondent's license to practice professional nursing in Arkansas was placed on probation with stipulations for a period of 3 years, by the Arkansas Board based upon Respondent's refusal to submit to drug testing for cause, and for removing multiple Meperidine injections for patients without documentation of administration. The disciplinary action by the Arkansas Board is described in more particularity in paragraph 14 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent failed to correctly document and properly assess patients when administering controlled substances, tested positive for opiates in a drug screen, took Restoril prior to the drug screen and removed multiple Meperidine injections for patients with no documentation of administration. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 13 and 14 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Drug Related Transgressions)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code as defined in section 2762, subdivision (a) of the Code in that Respondent obtained or possessed Meperidine, a schedule II controlled substance and dangerous drug, in violation of the law. The transgression is described in more particularity in paragraph 14 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.
- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code as defined in section 2762, subdivision (e) of the Code in that Respondent made grossly incorrect entries in hospital, patient and other records pertaining to Meperidine and other controlled substances. The transgressions are described in more particularity in paragraphs 13 and 14 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Nursing Practice Act)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (d) of the Code in that Respondent violated provisions of the Nursing Practice Act. The violations are described in more particularity in paragraphs 12 through 18 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 577075, issued to Jay Neal Rowton;
- 2. Ordering Jay Neal Rowton to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: November 27, 2012

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2012508132 51193032.doc